COMEAU, MALDEGEN, TEMPLEMAN & INDALL, LLP

Michael R. Comeau Larry D. Maldegen William P. Templeman Jon J. Indall Stephen J. Lauer Paula A. Cook Michael J. Moffett Adela M. Duran Caitlin Craft Dupuis Attorneys at Law Coronado Building, 141 E. Palace Avenue Post Office Box 669 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-0669 Telephone (505) 982-4611 Facsimile (505) 988-2987 G. Stanley Crout 1937-1987

Charles D. Olmsted 1925-1991

October 12, 2016

United States Department of Commerce International Trade Association Washington, DC 20230

Submitted online using ACCESS

Re: Request for Comments on 2016 Export Limit Adjustments; Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation

To whom it may concern:

On behalf of the Uranium Producers of America (UPA), the national trade association representing the domestic uranium industry, we are writing in opposition to the proposed 2016 export limit adjustment for uranium from the Russian Federation entering the U.S. market.

Before addressing two key areas where the Department's assessment is deficient, it is important to understand the state of the uranium industry. The uranium and conversion industries are struggling to survive. The spot uranium price on October 1, 2016 dropped to \$22.25 per pound U3O8 (TradeTech), a nearly 70 percent drop from January 2011 and the lowest price seen since 2005. Long-term prices have been impacted as well, dropping from \$70 to \$38 per pound U3O8. The uranium and conversion markets continue to suffer with persistent oversupply from price insensitive sources and limited uncommitted demand. In response to these adverse market conditions, the U.S. industry has lost about half of its workforce since 2012 and halted production at various mine sites. As reported by the EIA, domestic uranium production has declined by 32 percent between 2014 and 2015 and is down another 29 percent in the first half of 2016. In this environment, any additional uranium entering the market will have a devastating impact on the domestic industry.

Assessment Fails to Account for Lower Nuclear Capacity and Fuel Requirements

As you know, the Department of Commerce is required to adjust the annual export limits based on projected nuclear reactor demand for the subsequent years. It is our understanding the Department is basing its assessment on the data included in the World Nuclear Association's (WNA) 2015 report on global nuclear fuel supply and demand. While the WNA report does factor in six reactors that have either been shut down or announced their planned shutdown, an United States Department of Commerce International Trade Association October 12, 2016 Page 2

Reactor Closure Announcements NOT Included in WNA's 2015 Assessment				
Reactor Name	Owner	State	Announcement Date	Closure Date
Fort Calhoun	Omaha Public Power District	Nebraska	June 2016	Dec 2016
Clinton	Exelon	Illinois	June 2016	June 2017
Quad Cities 1	Exelon	Illinois	June 2016	June 2018
Quad Cities 2	Exelon	Illinois	June 2016	June 2018
Pilgrim	Entergy	Massachusetts	Oct 2015	May 2019

additional five reactors have announced closures in the 2015-2020 period that were not assessed as part of the WNA's 2015 report. These reactors include:

Assessment Overestimates Uranium Requirements

In addition to failing to account for additional U.S. reactors going offline, the Department's proposal uses a higher tails assay than the Global Nuclear Fuel Supply Demand publication issued by the WNA. Using a higher tails assay would allow significantly more Russian uranium to enter the United States than is allowed under the export limits set forth in the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation, as amended in 2008 ("Agreement"). Section IV.B.1 in the Agreement states: "The Department shall adjust these export limits in 2016 and 2019 to match the projected reactor demand for subsequent years in that publication." The most recent WNA publication specifies the enrichment and uranium projected requirements are based on .22 tails assay. Yet, the Department is using .3 tails assay, which is not correct when calculating the Low Enriched Uranium requirements outlined in the Agreement.

Using .3 tails assay requires 17.8% more LEU than using the .22 tails assay applicable in the reference WNA Enrichment Requirements (calculated using 4.4% U-235 as outlined in the Agreement). In terms of contained U3O8 in the LEU, using the higher tails assay would allow an additional export quantity from the Russian Federation averaging over 3.7 million pounds/year through 2020. For context, 3.7 million pounds is equivalent to the total amount of uranium produced in the U.S. in 2015. In 2017-2020 the total amount of contained U3O8 would exceed 15 million pounds U3O8 if the Department continued to use the incorrect tails assay of .3 versus the correct tails of .22 in calculating the export limits as outlined in Section IV.B.1 in the Agreement.

UPA appreciates the opportunity to provide input on the proposed 2016 export limit adjustment and would welcome the opportunity to answer any questions or provide additional

United States Department of Commerce International Trade Association October 12, 2016 Page 3

data as needed. We realize that the Department cannot halt the entry of the Russian Federation uranium into the U.S. market, but we do ask that you use all the available data to limit the amount to the absolute minimum.

Yours very truly, QQ Jon⁴J. Indall

Counsel, Uranium Producers of America

JJI/tf

Confirmation of Electronic Submission

Case & Segment Info:			
Bar Code:	3513569		
Case Number	A-821-802		
Case Title	Uranium From Russian Federation		
Case Segment	SUSP - Suspension Agreement		
Segment Begin Date			
Segment End Date			
Document Info:			
Security Classification	Public Document		
Document Type	Letter		
Filed On Behalf Of (collective entity)	Uranium Producers of America		
Manual Submission	No		
Document Title - Document (Page Count)	Comment on 2016 Export Limit Adjustments - DOC re Russian uranium 10 12 16.pdf (3)		
Comments			
Submitter Info:			
Filed By	jindall@cmtisantafe.com		
Firm/Organization Name	Comeau Maldegen Templeman & Indall LLP		
Filed Date-Time Stamp	10/12/2016 1:36:50 PM		